

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR ATTORNEY DOCKET N	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/785,043	02/25/2004	Johannes Hebebrar	nd 029300.49991D1	8553	
23911	7590 12/15/	006	. EX	KAMINER	
CROWELL	& MORING LLE	GITO	GITOMER, RALPH J		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP					
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON DC 20044-41	00 ·	1657		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/785,043	HEBEBRAND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit			
•	Ralph Gitomer	1657			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Octoor</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 1657

The RCE request and amendment received 10/20/06 have been entered and claims 1 and 3 are currently pending in this application. Please update the specification regarding priority documents.

In view of the amendments to the claims and arguments presented, the rejection of record under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is hereby withdrawn.

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the city and either state or foreign country of residence of each inventor. The residence information may be provided on either an application data sheet or supplemental oath or declaration.

It does not identify the foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application number, country, day, month and year of its filing.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over each of applicants admissions in the specification and Shank.

The specification as originally presented teaches on page 5, topiramate is known to have a multifactorial pharmacological spectrum of action including it acts as a carboanhydrase inhibitor and is suitable for the treatment of obesity. Making a pharmaceutical composition from topiramate is old.

Shank (WO 98/00130) entitled "Anticonvulsant Sulfamate Derivatives Useful in Treating Obesity" teaches in the claims, administering topiramate to treat obesity. On page 6 first paragraph, topiramate decreases metabolic efficiency.

The claims differ from the above references in that they are include steps to identify the compound for treating, however the claims are directed to a method of preparing a composition.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made make a topiramate composition to treat obesity because the specification teaches it was known to treat obesity with topiramate and topiramate is also a carboanhydrase inhibitor. Shank teaches topiramate is known for treating obesity. The additional properties of topiramate are inherent in the compound and would necessarily occur when administering the drug for any function. Making a pharmaceutical composition of topiramate is not novel in view of the above teachings.

Regarding claim 3, no specificity is claimed for the subtypes.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Shank does not teach the presently claimed step of identifying compounds where de novo lipogenesis is tested. And the present claims do not cover novel compounds for treating obesity but related to a method involving screening.

It is the examiner's position that independent claim 1 includes the limitation that the compound inhibits de novo lipogenesis but includes no method steps to do so. And a compound which treats obesity would inherently inhibit lipogenesis in some fashion. The present claims are drawn to a method of preparing a composition and as claimed, are rendered obvious by the above reference which teaches preparing a composition for the same function as claimed.

Art Unit: 1657

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are directed to preparing a composition but no preparing steps beyond incorporating are seen. The newly added step of measuring an effect on carboanhydrase activity does not include any steps which determine how that step is correlated to anything. Further, "the effect" lacks antecedent basis and no effects are set forth. For example, water would dilute the enzyme. And "at least one candidate compound" does not relate to the compound of the identifying step so it is unclear what compounds are intended. In claim 3 "said at least one carboanhydrase" lacks definite antecedent basis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,043

Art Unit: 1657

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657